IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CM No.18213 of 2006 and
CWP NO.12879 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: November 3, 2006

Rajan Sachdeva

….Petitioner

VERSUS

Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar and others

…..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. BHALLA

PRESENT: Shri Vikas Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri Sukhdip Singh Brar, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.
Shri Vijay Singh Kajla, Advocate for respondents No.3 and 4.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

CM No.18213 of 2006

The prayer made in the application is allowed.

Written statement on behalf of respondents No.3 and 4 are taken on record.

CWP No.12879 of 2006

The petitioner has approached this Court for issuance of direction to respondent No.1 to allot space for construction of chamber. Additionally, the petitioner has also challenged the allotment of champers to respondents No.3 and 4 on the ground that the chambers were meant for allotment to the lawyers and have been unauthorisedly allotted to respondents No.3 and 4. The petitioner has

also alleged that respondent No.4 at the time of allotment of chamber was working as P.A. to the Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar and as such the chamber could not be allotted to him.

An affidavit of Smt.Vimmi Sohal, PCS, Assistant Commissioner (General), Amritsar on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 has been filed in Court today. The same is taken on record. In the aforesaid affidavit, it has been stated that no chamber had been allotted to respondent No.3 and the chamber allotted to respondent No.4 has since been cancelled and possession thereof has been taken.

In view of the stand taken by the official respondents, we find that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of accordingly.

If the petitioner has further any relief available to him, he may approach the competent authority for the same, in accordance with law.

(Viney Mittal)
Judge

November 3, 2006
KD

(H.S. Bhalla)
Judge